



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

time" to build roads and mills and to cut, manufacture, and remove trees, and providing that grantee shall have 10 years in which to cut and remove the trees, and that grantor shall have the right to deaden the trees standing on expiration of such 10-year period and clear the land for cultivation, held to convey fee in standing timber with indefinite time for removal even after expiration of 10-year period.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 4 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 420.]

7. Logs and Logging (§ 3 (5)*)—Evidence Held Not to Prove Abandonment.—In an action to enjoin removal of standing timber, evidence held not to prove abandonment of the right to the timber under timber deed.

Appeal from Circuit Court, Dickenson County.

Suit by William J. Branham and another against Wilson Bros. and another. Decree for complainants, and defendants appeal. Reversed.

A. A. Skeen, of Clintwood, *Phipps & Phipps*, of Clintwood, *O. M. Vicars*, of Wise, *J. W. Flannagan, Jr.*, of Grundy, and *Geo. C. Peery*, of Tazewell, for appellants.

Sale & Harris, of Richmond, and *Chase & McCoy*, of Clintwood, for appellees.

WILSON BROS. et al. v. W. M. RITTER LUMBER CO.

Sept. 28, 1921.

[109 S. E. 201.]

Appeal from Circuit Court, Dickenson County.

Suit by the W. M. Ritter Lumber Company against Wilson Bros. and others. Decree for complainants, and defendants appeal. Reversed.

A. A. Skeen and *Phipps & Phipps*, all of Clintwood, *O. M. Vicars*, of Wise, *J. W. Flannagan, Jr.*, of Grundy, and *Geo. C. Peery*, of Tazewell, for appellants.

Sale & Harris, of Richmond, and *Chase & McCoy*, of Clintwood, for appellees.

PENDLETON v. COMMONWEALTH.

Sept. 22, 1921.

[109 S. E. 201.]

1. Homicide (§§ 233, 245*)—Evidence Held Insufficient to Establish Motive Claimed or to Show Shooting Necessary to Accomplish Same.—In prosecution for murder, evidence held insufficient to show

*For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes.